**Abstract**

Known as “society’s lepers,” the mentally ill endure suffering that transcends borders. This affliction is often the result of cross-cultural social stigma, and includes treatments such as chaining people to beds and solitary confinement. Some scholars have proposed the necessity of an international convention to further obligate states to protect the interest of the mentally ill, but this action alone is insufficient. In order to decrease stigma, and the injustice inflicted upon the mentally ill, the root of social stigma must be degraded prior to the successful implementation of mental health legislation. Changes in societal perspectives and an increase in mental health awareness will positively impact, not only those suffering from mental illness, but society as a whole. But, how should this be done? Unlike past scholarship, this article addresses current problems associated with mental health treatment, and through an interdisciplinary approach, compares the effects of the indigenous rights movement to a mental health movement. Specifically, this article discusses the potential to effectuate change through an analysis of current problems which include: (1) lack of available care, (2) lack of cooperation to acquire the multidisciplinary action necessary to care for the mentally ill, (3) lack of Education, and (4) lack of effective mental health policy. Through integrating social movement theory, the article bridges the gap between laws and effective implementation, which has the potential to curb continued human rights violations of the mentally ill.
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dos a proteger o interesse dos doentes mentais, mas esta ação por si é insuficiente. A fim de diminuir o estigma e a injustiça infligidos aos doentes mentais, a raiz deste estigma social deve ser combatida antes da implementação bem-sucedida da legislação de saúde mental. Mudanças nas perspectivas sociais e um aumento na consciência da saúde mental terá um impacto positivo sobre, não somente aqueles que sofrem de doença mental, mas também para a sociedade como um todo. Mas, como isso deve ser feito? Ao contrário de estudos passados, o presente artigo aborda problemas atuais associados com o tratamento de saúde mental, e através de uma abordagem interdisciplinar, compara os efeitos do movimento dos direitos indígenas a um movimento de saúde mental. Especificamente, este artigo discute o potencial para efetuar a mudança através de uma análise dos problemas atuais, que incluem: (1) a falta de assistência disponível, (2) a falta de cooperação para adquirir a ação multidisciplinar necessário para cuidar dos doentes mentais, (3) a falta da educação, e (4) a falta de política de saúde mental eficaz. Através da teoria da integração dos movimentos sociais, o artigo faz a ponte entre as leis e a implementação efetiva que tem o potencial para reduzir as violações continuas dos direitos humanos dos doentes mentais.

Palavras chaves: Global, saúde mental, direitos dos povos indígenas, movimento social, direito internacional.

1. INTRODUCTION

The World Health Organization (“WHO”) has recognized the plight of those suffering from mental illness as a “hidden emergency” that needs to be addressed immediately. Known as “society’s lepers,” the mentally ill suffer horrific circumstances that exaggerate mental illnesses. Treatment commonly includes solitary confinement and chaining people to beds.

When addressing many human rights violations, the moral wrongfulness of a violation is universally recognized. Genocide, torture, withholding food and water; all of these wrongs evoke a cross-cultural feeling of injustice. Thus, these abuses can be approached in similar ways; through treaties that further obligate states to uphold human rights standards followed by domestic legislation and international pressure. However, mental illnesses should to be approached differently from many human rights violations because the injustice is not necessarily promoted by the state, but communities and families. Due to cross-cultural stigma, obliging states to abide by rules regarding the human rights of those suffering from mental ailments is necessary; yet, insufficient alone. To effectively change current treatment practices associated with the mentally ill, social changes must occur to penetrate cultural practice and to inform individual persons of the misconceptions and stigmas associated with these disabilities and illnesses.

The United States and other developed nations have long-imposed cultural ideas of proper human treatment on developing states. However, developed nations have historically failed to recognize basic human rights of the mentally ill and disabled. Although the poor are disproportionately affected, the stigma associated with mental illness is a global problem, one which penetrates cultures and political lines. Due to the scope of the violations, further degradation of cultural stigmas must be increased cross culturally and nationally before adequate legislation can be passed and effectuated.

In order to change societal perceptions, a social movement must take place resulting in increased public awareness and political activism. That said, there is difficulty in assessing a balance between the necessary restrictions on freedom through the institutionalization of the dangerous or severely ill; however, the current balance is often weighed down by the over-breath of patients institutionalized. Therefore, this article does not promote the elimination of mandatory institutionalization for those who are a danger to self or others, but rather promotes legislation to protect persons from unnecessary involuntary commitment, and inhumane and degrading treatment while institutionalized.

Financing is an additional problem, many global struggles could be corrected with adequate financing; however, the global financing is insufficient to provide community care, and adequate treatment for every person suffering from mental illness. To solve the problems through increased financing would be an unreasonable expectation when
the international community has failed to provide even the basic necessities of life such as food and shelter. Therefore, this paper illustrates social mechanisms necessary to improve international treatment of the mentally ill through a change in stigma rather than idealistic, costly care or institutionalization. The theoretical product of changed views includes an increase in financing; but this paper proposes that instead of merely attempting change through traditional international human rights treaties, or increased financing, there exist a need for a collaborative social approach. The topic will be subdivided, discussing the need for a mental health social movement through seven sections. First, the meaning of mental health and illnesses will be discussed. Second, the stigmatized problems associated with the current mental health system will be summarized in four sections: (1) lack of available care, (2) lack of cooperation to acquire the multidisciplinary action necessary to care for the mentally ill, (3) lack of education, and (4) lack of effective mental health policy. Third, particular problems will be identified in the context of both developed and developing countries using specific examples of the United States, Great Britain, and Ghana. Fourth, mental illnesses will be distinguished from other groups suffering human rights violations. Fifth, the applicable principles of social movement theory will be briefly addressed. Sixth, a synopsis of the indigenous rights movement. Lastly, the previous sections will be applied to the mental health crisis in order to stipulate the effects of a global mental health movement.

Specifically, through the seven sections, the paper will attempt to demonstrate that in order to reduce cultural stigma and discrimination, the international community should continue to promote and recognize mistreatment of individuals through social movements. These social movements could effectuate change not only in international and domestic legislation, but also increase awareness of illness while changing society’s views of the mentally ill.

2. WHAT IS MENTAL HEALTH AND ILLNESS?

First, to know how to adequately treat a disease or ailment, the condition must be differentiated from health. Yet there exists difficulty in separating the mentally fit from mentally ill. The World Health Organization has described mental health as:

Not just the absence of mental disorder. It is defined as a state of well-being in which every individual realizes his or her own potential, can cope with the normal stresses of life, can work productively and fruitfully, and is able to make a contribution to her or his community.5

The Princeton Web Definition of mental illness includes “any disease of the mind; the psychological state of someone who has emotional or behavioral problems serious enough to require psychiatric intervention.”6 Although a generally accepted definition, difficulties in distinguishing mental infirmities manifest through psychosomatic complaints should also be considered. Often society fails to recognize that there is not a bright line between mental health and physical health. From a holistic approach, the two are bound and one condition can lead to the other. However, current definitions cause difficulty in distinguishing a psychosomatic physical complaint from a primary physical ailment, further leading to complexity when diagnosing the mentally ill. Mental illness is a grand scheme which, although affected by environmental factors such as poverty; strikes all races, cultures, and people of all socioeconomic status.7 The failure to identify and treat these illnesses in a timely and appropriate manner can lead to significant long-term costs as failure to treat is associated with criminal behavior, homelessness, and unemployment.8

3. WHY THE CURRENT MENTAL HEALTH SYSTEM IS FAILING?

3.1 Stigma of Mental Illness

The ability to treat mental illness is compounded by the societal stigma associated. Stigma is described as “a mark separating individuals from one another based on a socially conferred judgment that some persons or
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groups are tainted and ‘less than.’”\(^9\) A World Health Organization Bulletin cites Gruskin and Ferguson, from Harvard’s Program on International Health and Human Rights, who state that “stigma” is the state of “being devalued by individuals or communities on the basis of real or perceived health status.”\(^10\) Stigma is often intertwined with discrimination which as defined by the authors: “refers to the legal, institutional and procedural ways that people are denied access to their rights because of their real or perceived health status.”\(^11\) Further, “Recent research continues to show that individuals fear and avoid persons with mental illness, even as psychiatry claims dramatic increases in effective treatments and social scientists document greater levels of public acceptance of medical theories about underlying biological and genetic causes of mental illness.”\(^12\)

Studies also indicate that the mental illness stigma can have an impact not only on an individual’s self-esteem, but also quality of life.\(^13\) In fact, stigma “occupies a central place in explanations of low service use, inadequate research funding and treatment infrastructures, and hindered progress toward recovery from mental illness.”\(^14\) Society’s view detrimentally impacts persons suffering from mental illness, because the implications include withdrawing from treatment and worsening of the condition.\(^15\) The failure to receive treatment could then lead to great societal costs.\(^16\) For example, certain untreated mental illnesses, including substance abuse disorders, could lead to increased suicide rates which are projected to be “1.53 million by the year 2020.”\(^17\)

In A Call for Action by World Health Ministers, Brundtland identifies “critical strategies to start dispelling the indelible mark, the stigma caused by mental illness.”\(^18\) These strategies are not only necessary, but also practical tactics that can be feasibly implemented in order to prevent suicide and increase quality of life. The list includes:

- Public information campaigns using mass media in its various forms; involvement of the community in the design and monitoring of mental health services; provision of support to nongovernmental organizations and for self-help and mutual-aid ventures, families and consumer groups; and education of personnel in the health and judicial systems and employers\(^19\).
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Each of these tactics could be an effective tool to increase public awareness and decrease social stigma. However, these strategies are insufficient alone to provide protection to the mentally ill. Specifically, stigma has resulted in four problems which need separate strategies implemented in order to forestall a global mental health crisis. These problems include: (1) Lack of available care, (2) lack of cooperation to acquire the multidisciplinary action necessary to care for the mentally ill, (3) lack of public education and (4) lack of effective mental health policy.

3.1.1 Lack of Available Care

The stigma of mental illness prevents the recognition and treatment of disorders that with effective treatments could increase productivity and life satisfaction.\textsuperscript{20} At the 54\textsuperscript{th} World Health Assembly of 2001, neuropsychiatric disorders were responsible for 30.8 percent of all disabilities, and the number is rising.\textsuperscript{21} The Assembly also recognized that depression is expected to be the second leading cause of global burden of disease by 2020.\textsuperscript{22} The burden further increases as depression rates increase; major depressive disorder is linked to suicide, and the majority of “people who commit suicide are also clinically depressed.”\textsuperscript{23} As these illnesses affect not only the person with the illness, but family and friends who surround them, action should be taken immediately in order to provide adequate care and stifle the burden of disease upon us.

Although there is a cross-cultural stigma related to mental illness, the severity varies, and the availability of mental health professionals can fluctuate greatly between countries. For example, Switzerland had a ratio of 42 psychiatrists per 100,000 persons, while the nearby country of Poland reported less than 10 psychiatrists per 100,000 persons in 2009.\textsuperscript{24} And, not only does variance exist between countries, but the shortage of mental healthcare practitioners is often exaggerated in rural areas as the available professionals also varies dramatically between urban and rural settings.\textsuperscript{25} For instance, in Australia the ratio of psychiatrist in major cities is 4.6 times that of “remote” regions.\textsuperscript{26}

The lack of anonymity in rural settings can create additional problems for those suffering from mental illness. In 1999, the U.S. Surgeon General warned that due to the difficulty of maintaining anonymity, “stigma is particularly intense in rural communities.”\textsuperscript{27} The stigma in these communities “can lead to under-diagnosis and under-treatment,” and the lack of available care can cause additional problems as some persons with mental illnesses spend “more time traveling to see a provider than at actual appointments.”\textsuperscript{28}

3.1.2 Lack of Multi-Sectoral Cooperation

Regarding the promotion of global mental health, the World Health Organization has stated that “multi-sectoral action” is needed.\textsuperscript{29} In the Mental Health Policy and Service Guidance Package, the WHO specifies that, “Mental health is necessarily an inter-sectoral issue involving the education, employment, housing, social services and criminal justice sectors. It is important to engage in serious consultation with consumer and family organizations in the development of policy and the delivery of services.”\textsuperscript{30} This includes government and non-government organizations, and “the focus should be on promoting mental health throughout the lifespan to ensure a healthy start in life for children and to prevent mental disorders in adulthood and old age.”\textsuperscript{31}
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3.1.3 Lack of Education

Ignorance instinctively leads humanity to fear what it does not understand, and the current misunderstanding of mental illness can potentially lead to disastrous consequences.

Just as the lepers of old, the mentally ill are often ostracized by society. The WHO states that “The image of leprosy has to be changed at the global, national and local levels. A new environment, in which patients will not hesitate to come forward for diagnosis and treatment at any health facility, must be created.” Similarly to mental illness, the “age-old stigma associated with the disease remains an obstacle to self-reporting and early treatment.” Additionally, “the image of leprosy has to be changed at the global, national and local levels” in order to create “A new environment.” One in which patients will not hesitate to come forward for diagnosis and treatment at any health facility.” Education provides that leprosy is currently understood as “not highly contagious and treatable with multidrug therapy.” As the medical care staff and general public’s understanding of leprosy has improved, so has the treatment, decreasing leper numbers.

Education is fundamental in improving treatment of any condition and in preventing bias. In 2003, the WHO released a list of “common misconception” and “how to combat stigma.” Some “common misconceptions” of the mentally include that they are “lazy,” “unintelligent,” “unsafe,” “violent,” “possessed by demons,” “recipients of divine punishment,” “untreatable,” “in need of hospitalization,” “without conscience and unpredictable.” Interestingly, in order to combat stigma, the WHO list include many educational interventions. Some of these interventions involve: “community education on mental disorders,” “anti-stigma training for teachers and health workers,” “psychoeducation for consumers and families on how to live with persons who have mental disorders,” and “education of persons working in the mass media, aimed at changing stereotypes and misconceptions about mental disorders.”

3.1.4 Lack of Mental Health Policy

David Rosenhan in his famous On Being Sane In Insane Places, indicates the problems of defining the sane and insane. He states that what is “normal in one culture may be seen as quite aberrant in another.” In 1973, Rosenhan performed an experiment to assess health care providers’ ability to distinguish the sane from insane. In the study, eight “sane” people, with no previous psychiatric symptoms, gained admission into twelve psychiatric hospitals of different regions of the United States. After being admitted with falsified symptoms, the “secret” patients behaved “normal.” Hospitalization of the pseudopatients then “ranged from 7 to 52 days, with an average of 19 days.” Rosenhan notes:

Despite their public “show” of sanity, the pseudopatients were never detected. Admitted, except in one case, with a diagnosis of schizophrenia, each was discharged with a diagnosis of schizophrenia “in remission.” The label “in remission” should in no way be dismissed as a formality, for at no time during any hospitalization had any question been raised about any pseudopatient’s simulation. Nor are there any indications in the hospital records that the pseudopatient’s status was suspect. Rather, the evidence is strong that, once labeled schizophrenic, the pseudopatient was stuck with that label.

Also, unlike medical illnesses, a false positive psychiatric diagnosis “carry with them personal, legal, and social stigmas.” Rosenhan retells the injustice stating, “I have records of patients who were beaten by staff for the sin of having initiated verbal contact. During my own experience, for example, one patient was beaten in the presence of other patients for having
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approached an attendant and told him, “I like you.”\(^49\) Further describing the violations the “committed” endure, Rosenhan states:

> The patient is deprived of many of his legal rights by dint of his psychiatric commitment. He is shorn of credibility by virtue of his psychiatric label. His freedom of movement is restricted. He cannot initiate contact with the staff, but may only respond to such overtures as they make. Personal privacy is minimal. Patient quarters and possessions can be entered and examined by any staff member, for whatever reason. His personal history and anguish is available to any staff member (often including the “grey lady” and “candy stripe” volunteer) who chooses to read his folder, regardless of their therapeutic relationship to him. His personal hygiene and waste evacuation are often monitored. The water closets may have no doors.\(^50\)

Although the Rosenhan experiment reflects the U.S. mental health care of 1973, still there remains a mistreatment of institutionalized mental health patients. The Rosenhan experiment reveals the need for further legislation to protect those institutionalized, and individuals from unnecessary commitment. Policy-makers should restricting commitment only to those individuals who are a danger to self and others, and protect the rights of those patients who are institutionalized from inhumane and degrading treatment.

Mental health legislation has improved in since 1973, but the World Health Organization reports that still 40% of states have no mental health policy.\(^51\) For many of the states with mental health legislation, the policy is outdated.\(^52\) At this time, there are no universal binding treaties or resolutions regarding the specific treatment of the mentally ill. However, even if there were, the question arises, would these treaties be effective alone, or would they more resemble the other ineffective legislation? In December of 1991, the General Assembly adopted the Principles for the protection of persons with mental illness and the improvement of mental health care, under Resolution 46/119.\(^53\) Under this non-binding resolution, principle one articulates the standard of “best available mental health care,” available to “all persons.”\(^54\) This is the ideal standard, but binding legislation must be enacted to promote the availability of the “best” mental healthcare to all persons.

The WHO has also affirmed that, “mental health policies and plans for their implementation are essential for coordination of services and activities to improve mental health and reduce the burden of mental disorders.”\(^55\) The need for further policy enactment is evident, but this is insufficient alone. As social movements increase awareness of mental illness, stigma decreases, and access to treatments increases. Through increased education, and through a cooperative multi-sectoral approach the quality of care will also increase. As these changes occur, the effect of new policy will be more efficient and society will take less time to adapt. In many cases, for lack of incentive, many governments will not be persuaded to pass new regulations without some form of activism or pressure from the international community. Additionally, once the regulations are passed, activism will be necessary in order to prevent a repeat of the U.S. desegregation laws there must be some pressure or incentive to implement the new regulations.

4. CROSS-CULTURAL STIGMATICIZATION: EXAMPLES OF “DEVELOPED” AND “DEVELOPING” WORLD

4.1 Current Problems within Developing Nations

4.1.1 Government Spending and Poverty

Although human rights violations occur throughout the world, people within developing nations are especially susceptible to mental health abuses. Studies have found a positive correlation between mental health and poverty indicators such as: income, material possessions, and education.\(^56\) In ten stand-alone studies,
there was especially high correlation between education level and prevalence of common mental disorders. The dearth of skilled providers is especially prevalent in low-income countries. Reports indicate that “low-income countries” have proportions as low as “0.05 psychiatrist and 0.42 nurses per 100,000 people. [While] The rate of psychiatrist in high income countries is 170 times greater and for nurses 70 times greater.”

Government spending on mental health in most countries is lower than needed, but the poorer the countries the lower the percentage of healthcare spending put towards mental health. Within all countries, the lowest socioeconomic populations have the highest incidence of mental illness, and the least available care. These problems need to be addressed; however, the greater problem is not just in the lack of available care or the omission of care, but affirmative violations of human rights.

4.1.2 An Example from Ghana

A report of human rights abuses of the mentally ill in Ghana found that family members are the individuals who typically send the mentally ill to receive care. These individuals are customarily sent to either a hospital or prayer camp to receive treatment. The prayer camps are founded to give support by church organizations, while the hospitals can provide institutionalization. Human Rights Watch (“HRW”) tells the story of Doris, who at twenty-two was taken to a prayer camp by her father and was treated for demons. HRW reports some of her circumstances over the course of years, including being “tethered by a rope to a wall for about two months, forced to fast for days at a time, and left to sleep, bathe, and defecate in the open.”

Like Ghana, in many regions the majority of the people believe that mental illness is caused by evil spirits or demons; thus, the health treatment can differ significantly from conventional medicine. In addition to the lack of proper treatment, there is little access to treatment. With an estimated 2.8 million suffering from mental illness in Ghana, the entire country has only twelve practicing psychiatrist, and very little access to outpatient therapy.

4.2 Current problems within Developed Nations

4.2.1 Statistics within the United States

Although human rights violations of the mentally ill are prevalent in developing countries, many developed countries have similar problems. A 2009 study found that 26.4 percent of the population of the United States could be diagnosed with a mental illness at any given time. By comparison, in China the number is roughly 17.5 percent. The U.S. Center for Disease Control (“CDC”) reports that only 17% of the population is within a state of optimal mental health.

4.2.2 Discrimination in the United Kingdom

A survey response of 556 people in the United Kingdom who received psychiatric treatment, found that “56% experienced discrimination within the family, 51% from friends, 47% in the workforce and 44% from general practitioners.” Although the survey could in-

57 Id. at 610.
58 Id. at 878.
59 Id. at 2.
60 Id.
61 Id.
62 Id.
63 Id.
64 Id.
65 Id.
66 Id.
70 Chung, Experience of stigma among Chinese mental health
4.2.3 Prisons in the United States

Within the United States the prison system is overrun by the mentally ill. Yet, within this system, the inmates are often unable to obtain therapy and can be placed in solitary confinement. These conditions can potentially worsen the illness and cause additional public safety problems as many individuals leave jail without receiving therapeutic care. For example, solitary confinement has reportedly increased within the United States as a mechanism to cope with difficult and dangerous prisoners.\(^72\) Solitary confinement is a hazardous practice and “recognized as difficult to withstand; indeed, psychological stressors such as isolation can be as clinically distressing as physical torture.”\(^73\)

According to news sources, in April of 2013, “U.S. District Judge Lawrence Karlton in Sacramento ruled that the state failed to prove that it is providing the level of care required by the U.S. Constitution for the state’s more than 32,000 mentally ill inmates.”\(^74\) According to Time magazine, California has historically been known for the defective prison system.\(^75\) In 1991, the original suit was initiated under the premise that life within the prisons violated the constitution as “cruel and unusual punishment.”\(^76\) Although officials have recognized improvements in the system since that time, Judge Karlton’s ruling cited the continued inability to lower prisoner’s suicide and maintain adequate staff.\(^77\)

5. Why is Mental Health Different from Other Human Rights Violations?

When the laws are implemented, many do not find the treatment of mentally ill wrong. These are people with “demons” after all. The UN World Health Organization (“WHO”), reports that:

Misunderstanding and stigma surrounding mental ill health are widespread. Despite the existence of effective treatments for mental disorders, there is a belief that they are untreatable or that people with mental disorders are difficult, not intelligent, or incapable of making decisions. This stigma can lead to abuse, rejection and isolation and exclude people from health care or support. Within the health system, people are too often treated in institutions which resemble human warehouses more than places of healing.\(^78\)

Although legislative changes are necessary for improved care of the mentally ill, these reforms alone are insufficient to safeguard against further violations. In A Call for Action by World Health Ministers, the authors articulate this important point.

Introducing legislative reforms that protect the civil, political, social, economic, and cultural entitlements and rights of the mentally ill is also crucial. However, this step alone will not bear the fruits expected by legislators without a concerted effort to erase stigmatization as one of the major obstacles to successful treatment and social reintegration of the mentally ill in communities.\(^79\)

The current maltreatment of many individual suffering from mental illness is so entrenched in society, even thought of as necessary, that mental health legislation without a societal backing would be unenforceable de facto rules.
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Some problems or human rights violations can be regulated strictly by the change in domestic laws or policies. However, mental health problems occur in every socioeconomic class, culture, and country. This stigma, unlike many social stigmas, is associated at differing degrees of severity, but cross-culturally. When articulating policies for anti-corruption and genocide, or even gender equality, the impact of these violations can depend upon cultural stigmas. However, when cross-cultural persecution occurs, the situations of those burdened has historically improved through social movements. Many movements have taken years to develop, but when the general public is educated on a problem, governments are pressured to sign conventions, pass legislation, and then enforce the laws. Taking the opportunity to learn from history, a social movement should be implemented.

6. SOCIAL MOVEMENT THEORY

In the book, The Social Movement Society, social movements are defined as "collective challenges to existing arrangements of power and distribution by people with common purposes and solidarity, in sustained interaction with elites, opponents, and authorities." Classic definitions include a "desire for change." Multiple studies have indicated that organizational changes are the direct result of social movement activism. Burgmann states that as globalization of politics and institutions takes place, so too must the social movements become global. That the success of certain movements is determined not only by the ability to pressure politicians for change, but the ability to change perceptions of the problem.

In sum, the fundamental idea of "social-movement" is that through highly motivated activists, those who care about the cause, but are less ardent will too become motivated to promote change. This activism leads to a domino effect that promotes change, and brings awareness of the problem to the public as a whole. However, even if there are activists, for the social movement to become a collective action social ties must exist. The difference between activists solely protesting and the power of a 'social movement' is the ability to achieve networks and mobilization.


Diani, Mario & McAdam, Doug, Social movements and networks: Relational approaches to collective action, Oxford University Press on Demand (2003) (Author states that “collective action is significantly shaped by social ties.”)
6.1 Relative Deprivation

6.1.1 Perception and Actual Opportunity

According to McAdam, there exists a strong correlation between “subjective perception and structure of opportunities.” In fact, it was due to a change in federal policies that created the positivism of the civil rights movements in the 1930s and 60s. In the late 1960s when opportunities began to decrease, the perception of this decrease also occurred. According to “Tarrow, people join in social movements in response to political opportunity.” Yet, “Political opportunities are both seized and expanded by social movements, turned into collective action and sustained by mobilizing structures and cultural frames.” As this principle of necessary opportunities is applied, the law has a fundamental purpose in creating an increase of opportunities in a positive feedback loop. However, the opportunity does not need to be great; Tarrow also explains that the original protestors can create optimism as they “make evident” opportunities not previously discernible.

6.2 Authority

In the late 1800s, sociologist Max Weber developed a theory of three types of authority that movements rely on to gain success. Morris and Staggenborg describe a movement leader as a “strategic decision-makers who inspire[s] and organize[s] others to participate in social movements.” The leader has two fundamental roles, functioning as an internal “mobilizer” to make things happen, and an external “articulator” so that the

outside world legitimizes the cause. Without authority the movement will not progress as there are specific instances when leadership is critical to the success of a movement.

6.2.1 A charismatic leader

Within movement leaders is a “subset,” referred to as charismatic leaders. These are they who “represent revolutionary social forces” that “are responsible for significant societal transformations.” These leaders, “by the force of their personal abilities are capable of having profound and extraordinary effects on followers,” because the “followers perceive the charismatic leader as one who possesses superhuman qualities and accept unconditionally the leader’s mission and directives for action.” Many past social movements have relied upon charismatic leaders to propel individual activist into action.

6.2.2 Rational Authority/Bureaucratic Authority

According to Weber, the belief in bureaucratic authority stems from normative rules when individuals are formally appointed or elected under these rules. This is a common form of governing recognized by West, essential to global movements in order to propel legislation and promote safeguards to its enforcement.

6.2.3 Traditional Authority

Often recognized as patriarchal leadership within families, the traditional authority model is a result of custom and respect. Recognized commonly in indigenous cultures, traditional authority can at times conflict with state authority. For example, Lungisile Ntsebeza
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writes that rural South Africa should take “into account the incompatibility of democratic decentralization and hereditary rule that underlines traditional authority.”

6.3 Mobilization

According to Doug McAdam, a number of factors and processes facilitate mobilization. These factors, such as political opportunity, can lead to different methods of mobilization. In his book, Social Movements and The Legal System, Joel Handler states the ability “to mobilize resources depends primarily on size, on its ability to attract elite support, or on its capacity to provide meaningful selective incentives to its leaders and staff.” There are however, problems when attempting to promote a selective good as opposed to a collective good which would benefit society as a whole. Specifically, mobilizing resources and support from those who view the benefit is immaterial for oneself. Some individuals join organizations for solidarity or for a sense of satisfaction when the benefits go to the larger society or groups other than the joiner, but “the most powerful incentives are material ones.”

Historically, some movements and organizations have relied upon different techniques to acquire support, mobilize resources, and maintain effectiveness. Some organizations rely upon mass memberships where the incentive to join is small, but the participation is minimal, usually including a small yearly membership fee. For example, a common technique employed in “funded social movement organizations,” includes providing prestige and career development as selective incentives for leadership while support is provided by nonmembers. With professional full-time staff, these leaders “use the media to attract members, gather support, and influence elites.” This however can be “unstable,” as the majority of contributors “do not directly experience the grievances of the group; their relationship is tenuous” as they pay “for the collective goods but who do not consume them.”

6.4 Globalization

Sidney G. Tarrow explains that “globalization from below” will be a slow process he describes as “transnational contention.” Below he defines the five emerging methods of “transnational contention.”

- Domestication: the use of internal protest tactics that pressure national governments to defend people’s interest
- Global framing: the framing of domestic issues in broader terms than their original claims would seem to dictate
- Transnational diffusion: the spread of similar forms of action and similar claims across borders
- Externalization: domestic actors targeting external actors in attempts to defend their interests
- Transnational coalition formation: the creation of transnational networks to support cooperation across borders

As movements rely upon these methods, globalization can occur, even if the process at times yields results slowly.

---
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7. History of Social Movements

Historically, mental illness is not the only injustice provoked by cultural stigma. Physical disabilities, race, and gender have all benefited from social movements to increase awareness of the immorality of stigma induced unfair and unequal treatment. These social movements can take years to progress, but have dramatically changed human rights. An example of an effective social movement is the global Indigenous movement which will be discussed and compared below.

7.1 Indigenous Social Movement

In 1957, the first international convention specific to indigenous persons was adopted by the International Labor Organization (“ILO”) “at the request of the UN-System.”\(^{113}\) This Convention No. 107 was a step in the right direction, but embraced an “integrationist approach,” which did not preserve the indigenous right to self-determination and failed to preserve language and culture.\(^{114}\) Then in 1989,\(^{115}\) the ILO adopted Convention No. 169, which as of August 2013, has been ratified by 20 countries.\(^{116}\) Unlike Convention 107, 169 incorporated a conservationist approach in order to protect the valued rights and customs of indigenous persons.\(^{117}\)

Convention 169 was once again a step in the right direction, but still insufficient protection. Augusto Willemsen Diaz states that from 1977 onward, relying “on enthusiastic, devoted, brilliant and effective struggle of indigenous peoples and their members, as well as on the international indigenous movement that was forming and growing around the task,” pursued “obtaining recognition of indigenous peoples. . . along with their human rights and fundamental freedoms. . .”\(^{118}\) During the United Nations Decade for Indigenous Peoples (1995-2004), transnational organizations sought “recognition

and rights” for indigenous peoples specifically related to “property and possessions (such as territories, resources, material culture, genetic material, and sacred sites), practices (cultural performances, arts, and literature), and knowledge (cultural, linguistic, environmental, medical, and agricultural).”\(^{119}\) Then finally, in September 2007, the United Nations adopted the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples by a vote of 144 States.\(^{120}\)

Scholars describe the success through both a top-down and bottom-up approach. Lillian Aponte Miranda describes this “macro-level” scenario, stating that “a narrative of indigenous peoples’ role in international lawmaking defies a “top-down/bottom-up” dichotomy. Indigenous peoples’ participation reflects a bottom-up transnational social movement that engages both informal mechanisms of knowledge production and norm-generation and formal, top-down decision-making structures with the aim of establishing indigenous peoples’ distinctiveness.”\(^{121}\)

As a means of furthering such resistance to local circumstances, these communities engage informal mechanisms of transnational knowledge production such as transnational networks and non-governmental organizations. They further engage formal channels of decision-making through advocacy before international and regional human rights bodies. Indigenous communities’ multi-layered participatory efforts focus on strategically identifying core indigenous norms and values that distinguish indigenous communities from other groups. These efforts serve as a foundation for the recognition of a distinctive transnational identity and framework of rights.\(^{122}\)

Describing the involvement of individual communities, Aponte indicates that many indigenous communities engage “in bottom-up resistance against affronts to their way of life through participation in informal norm-building processes.”\(^{123}\) These communities worked in conjunction with “transnational networks
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and non-governmental organizations dedicated to the production of knowledge and generation of norms regarding the recognition of a distinctive transnational indigenous identity and a derivative framework of indigenous rights.” However, communities have also mobilized “through participation in more formal, institutionalized, top-down structures that contribute to the development of norms and decision-making regarding indigenous peoples’ rights.” Through both the “formal” and “informal” processes have the indigenous rights movement rendered success through contributing “to the formulation of ‘hard’ and ‘soft’ law” principles applicable to indigenous peoples.  

Aponte further states that “through a transnational social movement that has capitalized upon the politics of difference, indigenous peoples have participated significantly in the construction of a distinctive international, legal identity and derivative framework of human rights.” The indigenous rights movement has effectuated worldwide change. There are still innumerable violations of rights, but this movement has facilitated great improvement and is regarded as a success by the international community.

Although a global change has taken root, the process was slow. For example, in the 1920s the Australian movement began when an aborigine man named Anthony Martin Fernando, moved to Europe and began protesting the inequality in Australia. It took forty years, but in 1967, “90 per cent of Australians voted in a referendum in favor of removing references in the Australian constitution that discriminated against Aboriginal people.” Although Anthony Fernando did not live to see success, future activists were inspired by his work. In this it was “not the government,” but the ordinary people who decided to change things. 

8. Mental Health Social Movements

Social movements have the potential to impact human rights violations of the mentally ill, because social movements have the capacity to revolutionize when developed across multiple states. According to the WHO, as mental health advocacy movements have developed in countries such as Australia, Canada, Europe, New Zealand and others, it has helped to change society’s perceptions of persons with mental disorders. In 2003, the WHO also reported that current movement “comprises a diverse collection of organizations and people with various agendas [and] although many groups join together to work in coalitions or to achieve common goals, they do not necessarily act as a united front.” However, although many developed countries have begun the formation of social movements, many developing countries have only infant mental health advocacy groups or have yet to form any. Yet, even if the movements have not begun, there exist a “potential for rapid development, particularly because costs are relatively low, and because social support and solidarity are often highly valued in these countries.” In order to realize the potential within these societies, “technical assistance and financial support from both public and private sources” are likely needed.

Although indigenous peoples have not traditionally had equal access to justice and due to language and cultural barriers, there are individuals within indigenous communities who have successfully self-advocated. Also similar to the disability movement, persons suffering from severe mental illness would theoretically have difficulty advocating justice. The institutionalized mentally ill will be more productive with the assistance of others. Likely relying more on outside assistance than indigenous persons who often advocated on their own behalf through NGOs and systems of government.
8.1 United States Movement

An example of budding changes occurred in the United States. In the 1950s proponents of mental health reform within the United States instituted litigation to promote the right to treatment. This litigation protected involuntarily committed individuals from going to prison when they had not committed a crime; affording these the right to receive psychiatric treatment. In the 1970s Wyatt v. Stickney eventually led to hearings where courts adopted standards that included requirements such as the “right to privacy and dignity.” Studies found that there was a “was a significant increase in public expenditures for mental health, and this was directly attributable to the litigation,” along with “great improvement in the personal cleanliness of the patients and the sanitary conditions of the facilities and ‘most life-endangering conditions were removed.’” The Wyatt circumstances were distinctive, the judge was an activist and he appointed a unique Human Rights Committee to oversee the defendant institutions. These were “extraordinary,” “non-traditional” measures, but the scenario is still applicable as evidence that feasible changes in mental healthcare can occur under prejudice circumstances. Finally, Handler notes that the mental health law reformers did not have favorable circumstances, that unlike the civil rights lawyers, “there were no groups to which the lawyers could belong,” and although these persons had the “legal expertise,” they had “no expertise or resources in the enforcement stage.”

8.2 How to implement a Mental Health Social Movement

Similar to the indigenous movement, through both a “top-down” and “bottom-up” approach, the mentally ill could advocate in order to formulate both “hard” and “soft” law principles.

8.2.1 Actual Opportunity

Similar to mentally ill individuals, indigenous peoples have traditionally been inflicted by injustice. However, the public must become aware of the Relative Deprivation between those perceived as mentally healthy and those with mental health problems. As Tarrow states, the opportunity need not be great, but as opportunities present there will be a positive-feedback loop. Recently, as the disabilities movement has resulted in some success, the moment to “make evident” the available opportunities for change has arrived.

8.2.2 Leadership and Authority

In order to implement a global social movement there must be “strategic decision-makers who Inspire[s] and organize[s] others to participate in social movements.” There can exist more than one leader; however, there must be leadership in order to “articulator” the cause of the mentally ill, and to gain and retain support for the movement. According to the Weber paradigm, this movement would be the most successful if all three types of leadership were included. For example, a charismatic leader would act as a voice of the mentally ill, one who could articulate the problems to gain support of the general public. A charismatic leader could also benefit a mental health movement in order to unify the bureaucratic and traditional societies. However, rational authority would also be beneficial. With politicians and bureaucrats advocating on behalf of the mentally ill, the process of change would occur rapidly. Although, not leaders of the movement, sub-traditional leaders could also benefit society as families and groups begin to recognize the injustice on a larger scale.

8.2.3 Mobilization

A concern with regards to Mental Health Social Movement is the ability to mobilize, and, as discuss above,
in creating incentives to join the movement. Although Indigenous peoples had to overcome language, location, and other barriers to participate in the Indigenous movement, the many mentally ill would have even more difficulty advocating on their own behalf. Additionally, the Indigenous peoples often had the “material” incentive to mobilize, if they failed to act, often their land, resources, and way of life would be jeopardized. However, to advocate on behalf of the mentally ill would more similarly parallel the Disability Movement where many of those disabled received support from individuals whose selective incentives differed from direct material goals. This movement too has brought success. For example, the Americans with Disabilities Act (“ADA”) was passed in the United States in the 1990s after multiple social movements of the 1960s and 1970s were effective in increasing awareness of unneeded institutionalization and the unequal treatment of these persons. Also, similar to the disabilities movement, a mental health movement could partially rely on persons unaffected by mental illness. Recently, the WHO has recognized that over the last 40 years the concept of “self-advocacy” has emerged, giving power and motivation to those who are affected by mental disorder and their family members. Additionally, within the 25 years the movement has grown to comprise not only severe illnesses, but also the mildly ill, and public mental health. This incorporation provides an incentive for mobilization as the general public could have more material gain from advocating than just “selective goods.”

8.2.4 Globalization

According to Tarrow, “since the 1990s, an increasing number of contentious actors and actions have crossed national boundaries, united challenger from different countries, or targeted foreign and international targets.” For example, the transnationalization of a movement are the campaigns “mounted by coalitions of indigenous groups with support from NGOs abroad” in Northern Quebec, South America, and rural India “to stop the construction of major dams. . .”

Similar to these movements, a mental health movement could feasibly transcend national borders. In the case of the dam construction, specific indigenous groups were represented by global NGOs who had the experience and ability to halt construction through litigation. In the case of mental illnesses, with the support of global mental health advocacy groups, the mental health advocacy groups could also effectively campaign through both global framing and transnational diffusion.

8.3 Outcomes of a mental health social movement

As mentioned above, the current problems associated with human rights violations of the mentally ill include: (1) Lack of available care, (2) lack of cooperation to acquire the multidisciplinary action necessary to care for the mentally ill, (3) lack of education and (4) lack of effective mental health policy. Through a successful social movement, the four problems can feasibly be improved as “Advocacy has helped consumers make their voices heard and to show the real people behind the labels and diagnoses.” The following section will briefly discuss how according to social movement theory, these problems could be improved to afford better protection to those currently suffering from mental illness.

8.3.1 Available Care

Globally, the available mental health care is appalling. “Only a small minority of people with mental disorders receive even the most basic treatment,” “only 51% of the world’s population have access to treatment for severe mental disorders at the primary care level,” and this treatment is “not necessarily effective or comprehensive.” As a movement advocates and increases persons understanding of mental illnesses, available care will increase due to demand, and also through promotion similar to physical healthcare advocates. This will likely be a slow process as mental health personnel are trained and societal perspectives are changed, but the outcome will greatly benefit society.

---
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8.3.2 Multidisciplinary Cooperation

Lack of disciplinary cooperation causes a lacuna in care, but a multi-sectoral approach can also prevent deaths secondary to mental illness. Mental illness is a major risk factor for suicide within North America and Europe, and according to the WHO, there are currently one million suicides a year worldwide.\(^{159}\) The WHO also suggest that lack of suicide awareness and societal taboos have prevented countries from adequately addressing suicide.\(^ {160}\) In reality the complexities of suicide are such that change in one sector of society alone is insufficient; suicide prevention necessitates a multidisciplinary approach from many professionals.\(^ {161}\)

Through a global movement, more institutions can be involved in mental illness care. This multi-disciplinary involvement will not only decrease suicide rates, but increase productivity as children with mental illnesses receive proper treatment. As children and adolescents receive adequate care, the likelihood of future criminal activity also decreases. Educators will be more aware of rights and privileges, the criminal justice system will be more efficient and housing could be fairly regulated.

8.3.3 Education

The general public should be educated in order to decrease stigma.\(^ {162}\) Without an educated public, optimal legislation would be ineffective. It would resemble the desegregation laws which many states within the United States failed to enforce until further progression of the civil rights movement. As education changes perspectives and increases understanding of the pathophysiology of the illnesses, person will be more likely to abide by the laws enforced.

Educating mental health care providers could also lead to increased quality of care. As those performing the care are more educated, this creates the atmosphere to educate patients and families concerning illnesses.

8.3.4 Optimal Legislation

One of the prospects of the activism would be to implement ideal mental health legislation. In order to implement effective norms the cooperation of both non-state and state actors is needed as both contribute to the development of “international norm-building.”\(^ {163}\)

In 1996, the WHO Division of Mental Health and Prevention of Substance Abuse released ten basic principles of mental healthcare law after comparing the legislation of 45 different countries.\(^ {164}\) These principles also draw upon the ideas recognized in the “Principles for the Protection of Persons with Mental Illness and the Improvement of Mental Health Care adopted by UN General Assembly Resolution 46/119 of 17 December 1991.”\(^ {165}\) These principles include: (1) promotion of mental health and prevention of mental disorders (2) access to basic mental health care (3) mental health assessments in accordance with internationally accepted principles, (4) provision of the least restrictive type of mental health care, (5) self-determination, (6) right to be assisted in the exercise of self-determination, (7) availability of review procedure, (8) automatic periodical review mechanism, (9) qualified decision-maker, and (10) respect of the rule of law.\(^ {166}\)

These basic principles when applied would prevent numerous violations. For example, number four provides that the “least restrictive type of mental healthcare” be given.\(^ {167}\) The components of this principle include providing community based-care when applicable and limiting restraints, chemical restraints, and isolation to the most extreme circumstances when necessary to prevent harm to the patient or others.\(^ {168}\) Additionally, these mechanisms should have strict time limitations to prevent abuse, such as four hour restriction for physical restraints.\(^ {169}\)
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Principle number seven provides another example of a protective mechanism to prevent unnecessary restrictions of freedom, and greater patient autonomy. This principle, “the availability of review procedure,” allows the individual to “be heard in person,” and for the review to assure that a certain action is absolutely necessary to protect the individuals or others from harm.\footnote{Id. at 7 Availability of Review Procedure.}

These basic standards, although “not a model act,” provide a fundamental framework for change that can guide rule-makers to implement legislation which could significantly impact and reduce abuses of persons suffering from mental illness.\footnote{Id. at Foreword} However, to change policy, and implement the new policy, social awareness that a problem exist must too increase.

9. CONCLUSION: THE NEED FOR A CURRENT SOCIAL MOVEMENT

A social movement is essential in order to forestall the forthcoming mental health crisis. Through increased awareness, and decreased stigma association, a social movement could be key to decreasing human rights violations of the mental ill.

The public needs to be engaged in a dialogue about the true nature of mental illnesses, their devastating individual, family and societal impacts, and the prospects of better treatment and rehabilitation alternatives. At the same time, stigmatizing attitudes need to be tackled frontally through campaigns and programmes aimed at professionals and the public at large.\footnote{See Supra note 18, at 19.}

As activist initialize social movements, results could significantly assist millions of people suffering from stigma induced injustice. As a movement increases education, available care, multi-sectoral action, and legislation, it has the potential to increase not only quality of care, but availability of care. As quality of life improves for those suffering from mental illness, public productivity will also increase. Society’s current taboo towards treatment disincentives those who need care to seek it, causing future problems, and without action, many receiving treatment will continue to suffer prolonged solitary confinement, unnecessary restraints, and other physical and verbal abuses, burdening the public, families, while worsening the conditions of those suffering.